[31st October 1935

Name of District Panchayat Officer.	Community.	District Board to which first appointed.	District Board under which the officer is now serving.
M.R.Ry. Y. Guru Reddi ..	Non-Brahman (Hindu).	Ganjam	Ganjam.
,, R. S. Parthasarathi Rao (Appointed as Commissioner).	Do.	East Godavari ..	....
,, C. Raghupathi Rao Nayudu.	Do.	Do. ..	Guntur.
,, B. Ravindranath ..	Do.	Do. ..	East Godavari.
,, A. Ramachandran ..	Brahman ..	West Godavari ..	Kistna.
,, K. Visvanathan ..	Non-Brahman (Hindu).	Do. ..	West Godavari.
,, V. A. Adinarayana Reddi.	Do.	Kistna	Bobbili.
,, K. Ramakrishnayya.	Do.	Kurnool ..	Kurnool.
Rao Sahib R. Lazarus	Indian Christian.	Madura	Madura.
,, T. S. Minakshi-sundara Mudaliyar.	Non-Brahman (Hindu).	Ramnad ..	Ramnad.
,, V. Sobhanadri Rao Nayudu.	Do.	Salem	Vizagapatam.
,, C. Kadirvelu Mudaliyar.	Do.	Tanjore	West Tanjore.
,, T. M. Ramaswami ..	Do.	Trichinopoly ..	Trichinopoly.
,, C. Vengala Rao Nayudu (Appointed as Commissioner).	Do.	Vizagapatam ..	....
,, C. T. Vurgese ..	Indian Christian.	South Kanara ..	Malabar.
Mr. H. H. Schamnad	Muhammadan ..	Malabar ..	South Kanara.
Mr. Ghouse Mohideen Sahib ..	Do. ..	Nellore	Nellore.
M.R.Ry. M. C. Balakrishna ..	Non-Brahman (Hindu).	Tinnevelly ..	Tinnevelly.
Mr. C. Ramanathan (Officiating).	Do.	Kistna	Ongole.

APPENDIX VI.

[Vide answer to question No. 351 asked by Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 31st October 1935, page 256 supra.]

G.O. No. 3139, L. & M., dated 9th August 1932.

READ—the following papers:—

I

G.O. No. 12, L. & M., dated 6th January 1931.

G.O. No. 346, L. & M., dated 2nd February 1931.

G.O. No. 887, L. & M., dated 16th March 1931.

II

From the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards, dated the 2nd March 1932, R.O.C. No. 7705-C-1-32.

31st October 1935]

Order—No. 3139, *L. & M., dated* 9*th August* 1932.

The Government have had under their consideration the question of the appointment by district boards of panchayat officers under section 68 of the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920. This section provides that every district board shall, if so required by the Government, sanction a post of district panchayat officer. In G.O. No. 887, L. & M., dated the 16th March 1931, the Government intimated to all district boards that they did not then intend to take action under the above section, but that they had no objection to the appointment by the district boards of competent persons on a pay not exceeding Rs. 200 per mensem, for work connected with the formation and supervision of panchayats, provided that the appointments were made on a temporary basis and that the officers were not designated district panchayat officers. In accordance with these orders, several district boards have sanctioned the appointment of temporary district panchayat organizers in their districts.

2. The Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards has now urged upon the Government the desirability of taking early steps for the appointment of district panchayat officers. He points out that for want of an effective agency to guide and supervise the work of panchayats on the spot, many of these bodies are not functioning satisfactorily. The Government agree with the Inspector that some direction and control on the spot is essential for the successful working of the panchayats. All district boards except those of Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Kurnool and the Nilgiris are accordingly now permitted to appoint district panchayat officers in their districts.

3. The Government consider that a scale of Rs. 150—10—200 will be suitable and accordingly commend the scale to all district boards for adoption. There is no objection to these officers being designated as district panchayat officers. Persons appointed as district panchayat officers should possess the minimum general educational qualification prescribed in Article 1 of the Public Service Notification and if they have not already passed the Account Test, they should pass it within a year of their appointment. It may be found advantageous in some places to appoint retired officials to these posts.

4. The pay of the district panchayat officers should ordinarily be met from the general funds of the district boards. The Government, however, recognize that during the present financial stringency some of the district boards may not be in a position to meet this new expenditure from their general funds. In such cases the Government will be prepared to allow the debit of the pay of the district panchayat officers to the Village Development Fund, subject to the concurrence of the Village Development Fund Committee. All other expenditure such as travelling allowance and the cost of the clerical and menial staff, if any, employed under the district panchayat officers should be met from the general funds of the district boards.

5. The Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards has also suggested the appointment of Assistants to District Panchayat Officers

in districts where the number of panchayats is one hundred or more at the rate of one for each taluk having more than 50 panchayats. The Government consider that this question may be left to the district and taluk boards concerned.

6. All presidents of district boards, except Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Kurnool and the Nilgiris are requested to report not later than the 1st October 1932 the action taken by the boards on these orders.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Local Self-Government)

E. CONRAN SMITH,
Secretary to Government.

To all Presidents of District Boards, except Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Kurnool and the Nilgiris.
,, the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.
,, the Examiner of Local Fund Accunts.

APPENDIX VII.

[Vide answer to question No. 352 asked by Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 31st October 1935, page 257 supra.]

Name of municipality.	Population as per 1931 census.	Total income—Ordinary (under all heads)—according to the budget for 1935–36.
		RS.
Walajapet	11,102	60,650
Chicacole	16,588	69,755
Bimlipatam	9,629	39,555

TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

APPENDIX VIII.

[Vide answer to question No. 353 asked by Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 31st October 1935, page 257 supra.]

G.O. Ms. No. 3655, L. & M., dated 9th September 1935.

Under section 12-C of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920 (Madras Act V of 1920), the Governor acting with Ministers is pleased to appoint M.R.Ry. K. Sanyasi Raju Garu, B.A., B.L., Secretary, Vizagapatam District Board, as the Commissioner of the Vizagapatam Municipality, on a salary of Rs. 400 per mensem in the scale of Rs. 300—25—500 and a conveyance allowance of Rs. 40 per mensem